| Title: Faculty Survey of Library Services | Date of c | ompletion: | May 2016 | |
|---|---------------------|----------------|---------------------|--|
| Please circle or highlight: Assessment Activity | Report | Both | | |
| Highlights of data: | | _ | | |
| The library surveys faculty during the Spring Sen library services. Data in bold red type indicates purposes. A total of 38 surveys were processed 2015. | s data collecte | d in 2015 fc | or comparison | |
| Collection Development: If recommendations f submitted, were your requests processed in a ti • Yes: 100% 64% | mely manner? | | | |
| Unaware of the procedure for reques No Basis for Opinion: 31 out of 38 | | | 0% 36% | |
| Reserve Collections : Were you pleased with t articles, books, or other information? | he assistance | you receive | d in reserving | |
| Yes: 100% 69% Unaware that the library offered res No Basis for Opinion: 30 out of 38 to 100 out out of 38 to 100 out out of 38 to 100 out out out out out out out out out out | | | 31% | |
| Interlibrary Loan: If ILL requests were submitte manner? | d, did you rec | eive the ma | terials in a timely | |
| Yes: 100% 88% Unaware that ILL was available to Vertice | C faculty: O | % 13% | | |
| | 8 total respon | | | |
| Library Instructional Support : If a presentation and relevant to the research assignment? | was schedule | d, was the ii | nformation helpful | |
| Yes: 94% 80% Unaware library instructional support | ort was availal | hle: 6% | 20% | |
| No Basis for Opinion: 22 out of 38 | | | 2070 | |
| Remote Access to Databases : If off campus access was needed, were you able to access databases efficiently with no technical problems/interruptions? | | | | |
| • Yes: 87% 78% | · • | | | |
| • No: 13% 6% | | | | |
| Unaware databases were accessib | le off campus | : 0% 1 | 7% | |

* Posted on Vernon College website to be shared with SSBTN and College Effectiveness Committees as well as Vernon College constituents.

| No Basis for Opinion: 23 out of 38 total respondents | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Reference Assistance : The library staff is courteous and professional in assisting with informational needs. | | | | |
| Agree: 100% 100% | | | | |
| No Basis for Opinion: 11 out of 38 total respondents | | | | |
| Online Reference Assistance : I received prompt assistance with questions submitted online via email. | | | | |
| Agree: 100% 100% | | | | |
| No Basis for Opinion: 29 out of 38 total respondents | | | | |
| Access to Wright Library Collection: Faculty based in Wichita Falls may request books from the main collection in Vernon. If books were requested, did you receive the title/s within a reasonable time period? Yes: 100% No Basis for Opinion: 29 out of 38 total respondents | | | | |

Print Collections: How would you rate the overall quality of the book collection in your program or discipline?

| Print Co | llections: | <u>ccc</u> | Print Collections: Vernon | | ernon |
|------------|------------|------------|---------------------------|-----|-------|
| Excellent: | 15% | 23% | Excellent: | 0% | 33% |
| Good: | 38% | 36% | Good: | 80% | 50% |
| Average: | 31% | 23% | Average: | 20% | 17% |
| Fair: | 15% | 9% | Fair: | 0% | 0% |
| Poor: | 0% | 9% | Poor: | 0% | 0% |

Print Collections: STC Spring 2016: Good: 1 respondent Fair: 1 respondent No Basis for Opinion: 1 respondent

Spring 2015: No Basis for Opinion: 2 out of 2 total respondents

Database Collection: How would you rate the overall quality of the database collection:

| | Spring 2016 | Spring 2015 |
|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| Excellent | 36% | 28% |
| Good | 50% | 50% |
| Average | 9% | 19% |
| Fair | 5% | 3% |
| Poor | 0% | 0% |

Overall Quality of Library Services: How would you rate the overall quality of library services?

| | Spring 2016 | Spring 2015 |
|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| Excellent | 63% | 41% |
| Good | 27% | 49% |
| Average | 10% | 10% |
| Fair | 0% | 0% |
| Poor | 0% | 0% |

* Posted on Vernon College website to be shared with SSBTN and College Effectiveness Committees as well as Vernon College constituents.

Use of data:

Surveys seek to measure user satisfaction with and awareness of the services offered. Data reveals a **significant increase** in awareness of library services. Efforts to raise awareness of library services have included brief email notifications as well as presentations scheduled during the Fall Kickoff and New/Adjunct Faculty Orientations. Additionally, an information kiosk with promotional materials was setup in the foyer during the Fall Kickoff.

Data is also evaluated according to the library's Institutional Effectiveness Plan. The library exceeded the expected outcome of increasing faculty awareness by at least 5%. Increases of 5% and more were noted for all services including reserves, purchase recommendations, Interlibrary Loan, library instructional support, and off campus access options. For several of these services, all respondents offering an opinion were aware that the service was available.

All services received approval rating of at least 85% as stipulated in the IE Plan.

Faculty were asked to rate the overall quality of the book collections in their programs or disciplines. A campus-wide analysis of the data indicated that 62% of faculty rated print collections as good or excellent, 24% as average, and 14% as fair. All ratings of "fair" were registered at CCC (2 of the 13 respondents offering an opinion) and at STC (1 of the 2 respondents offering an opinion). In an effort to address collection quality at both locations, the library will:

- Promote ILL and the ability to request books outside the Vernon College library system.
- Notify faculty that books can be transferred between campuses. Instructors may use the ILL form to request books from the main collection in Vernon.
- Invite faculty recommendations for the purchase of library materials through brief email notifications. As subject matter experts, faculty are aware of the materials needed to help supplement their curriculum.
- Coordinate with Division Chairs in scheduling time to meet with faculty during Division meetings. Promote ILL, purchase recommendations, and the ability to transfer books between campuses.
- Continue to address input collected through program/discipline evaluations. Faculty are asked to evaluate library resources, discuss efforts to correct deficiencies, and note ways in which faculty have assisted in collection development. The report also asks that faculty review lists of library holdings, mark through those titles deemed dated or irrelevant, and provide title recommendations to address gaps in coverage.

^{*} Posted on Vernon College website to be shared with SSBTN and College Effectiveness Committees as well as Vernon College constituents.

| How associated to Student Success: | | | |
|---|---|---------|--------------|
| Survey data provides an impetus for continuous improvement on the quality and effectiveness of the resources and services offered. Faculty input in the evaluation of library resources helps to ensure that the collection is relevant and supportive of the curriculum. | | | |
| Where the report can be found: | A hard copy of the data is included in the assessment notebook at the circulation desk in the Wright Library. The data will also be emailed upon d: request. | | |
| • | | | |
| Submitted by: <u>Marian Grona</u> (Respo | nsible Party) | Date: | May 10, 2016 |
| | | | |
| Received by Office of Quality Enh | ancement: | 5/16/16 | (Date) |
| Presented to SSBTN Committee* | : | 5/16/16 | |

* Posted on Vernon College website to be shared with SSBTN and College Effectiveness Committees as well as Vernon College constituents.